

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,208	. 09/21/2005	Motoya Mie	00005.001272	1718
	7590 04/02/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1617		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AYS	04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)				
		10/550,208	MIE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Renee Claytor	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DEPTH O	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this comm ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	eptember 2005					
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National Sta	ige			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/550,208

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I, claim(s) 1-7 and 17-18, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising (a) 7-[2-(3,5-dichloro-4-pyridyl)-1-oxoethyl]-4-methoxy-spiro[1,3-benzodioxol-2,1-cyclopentane] and (b) a steroid agent.
- II. Group II, claim(s) 8-14, drawn to a kit comprising (a) 7-[2-(3,5-dichloro-4-pyridyl)-1-oxoethyl]-4-methoxy-spiro[1,3-benzodioxol-2,1-cyclopentane] and (b) a steroid agent.
- III. Group III, claim(s) 15-16, drawn to 7-[2-(3,5-dichloro-4-pyridyl)-1-oxoethyl]-4-methoxy-spiro[1,3-benzodioxol-2,1-cyclopentane].
- IV. Group IV, claim(s) 19-31, drawn to a method for treating and/or preventing a pulmonary disease, comprising administration of (a) 7-[2-(3,5-dichloro-4-pyridyl)-1-oxoethyl]-4-methoxy-spiro[1,3-benzodioxol-2,1-cyclopentane] and (b) a steroid agent.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The method for determining whether the

Art Unit: 1617

requirement of unity of invention is satisfied is described as follows: unity of inventions exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature", should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II,III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: pharmaceutical compositions comprised of 7-[2-(3,5-dichloro-4-pyridyl)-1-oxoethyl]-4-methoxy-spiro[1,3-benzodioxol-2,1-cyclopentane] are taught in US Patent 6,514,996 (Table 7, Compound 140). Compounds of the invention were tested in bronchoconstriction animal models (Test Examples 3-5). Therefore, US Patent 6,514,996 teaches an identical compound as that described in Invention I.

As a result, no special technical feature exists among the different groups of inventions because Invention I fails to make a contribution over the prior art due to a corresponding lack of novelty (i.e., the composition of Invention I is already known in, and therefore anticipated by, the prior art). In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of invention, as Inventions I and II do not relate to, and are not so linked as to form, a single general inventive concept.

Conclusion to Restriction Requirement

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/550,208

Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

SPEENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5